	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Notice of Allowability	09/580,853	CARLSON, DAVID E.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Daniel St.Cyr	2876
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS I herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8 NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.3 1. This communication is responsive to communication files 2. The allowed claim(s) is/are 1,2,4-10,13-16 and 18-22. 3. The drawings filed on are accepted by the Examin 4. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority u a) All b) Some* c) None of the: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents had 2. Certified copies of the priority documents had 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority of International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * Certified copies not received: 5. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority (a) The translation of the foreign language provisional	S (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in 5) or other appropriate common RIGHTS. This application is sell 13 and MPEP 1308. Index 12/18/02. The control of t	n this application. If not included unication will be mailed in due course. THIS subject to withdrawal from issue at the initiation of the
6. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONMENT of	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/of this communication to file a	or 121. reply complying with the requirements noted
7. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subINFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which gives rea	omitted. Note the attached EX ason(s) why the oath or decla	AMINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF ration is deficient.
8. CORRECTED DRAWINGS must be submitted. (a) including changes required by the Notice of Draftspering 1) hereto or 2) to Paper No (b) including changes required by the proposed drawing changes required by the attached Examine.	correction filed, which	ch has been approved by the Examiner.
Identifying Indicla such as the application number (see 37 CFR of each sheet. The drawings should be filed as a separate pape		
9. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the departs attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT FOR	osit of BIOLOGICAL MATE THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGI	ERIAL must be submitted. Note the CAL MATERIAL.
Attachment(s)		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449), Paper No. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material 	4⊠ Interview 6☐ Examine	f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) v Summary (PTO-413), Paper No.7 er's Amendment/Comment er's Statement of Reasons for Allowance

Application/Control Number: 09/580,853

Art Unit: 2876

DETAILED ACTION

1. Receipt is acknowledged of the amendment filed 12/18/02 in which claims 1, 4, 5, 7, and 18-22 were amended claims 3, 11, and 17 were canceled.

REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

- 2. After further search and thorough examination of the present application and in view of the Applicant's amendments, claims 1, 2, 4-10, 13-16, and 18-22 are found to be in condition for allowance over the prior art made of record.
- 3. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: Although the prior art of record teaches articles having identification, classification, and inventory tracking components having a first and a second perception for differentiating the state of the article, the prior art of record fails to disclose or fairly suggests that each article is constructed to have only on identifiable characteristic viewable when housed with a plurality of articles. These limitations in conjunction with other limitations of the dependent and independent claims were not shown by, would not have been obvious over, nor would have been fairly suggested by the prior art of record.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel St.Cyr whose telephone number is 703-305-2656. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G Lee can be reached on 703-305-3503. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7721 for regular communications and 703-308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Daniel St.Cyr Examiner Art Unit 2876

DS January 10, 2003

Application No. Applicant(s) 09/580.853 CARLSON, DAVID E. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit Daniel St.Cyr 2876 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Daniel St. Cyr. (3) (4)____ (2) Jeffery L. Cameron. Date of Interview: 01 October 2002. Type: a) \square Telephonic b) \square Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: all claims. Identification of prior art discussed: None. Agreement with respect to the claims f was reached. g was not reached. f N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>We discussed possible amendment for all the independent claims in an efffort to overcom the prior art. Further consideration will be given upon filling a formal amendment.</u>

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required



In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate Interview Summary Record is required.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case unless both applicant and examiner agree that the examiner will record same. Where the examiner agrees to record the substance of the interview, or when it is adequately recorded on the Form or in an attachment to the Form, the examiner should check the appropriate box at the bottom of the Form which informs the applicant that the submission of a separate record of the substance of the interview as a supplement to the Form is not required.

It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

- A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:
- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner,
 - (The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.